

Task Managers Meeting Report M92, Cape Town, South Africa

Wim van Helden, AEE INTEC, Austria

Technology Collaboration Programme

Participants

Present

Name	Task
Jan de Boer	61
Maria Wall	63
Andreas Häberle	64
Christoph Brunner	62
Wim van Helden	67
Pam Murphy	secretariat

Apologised

Name	Task
Uli Jakob	65
Harald Drück	66
Viktor Unterberger	68
Robert Taylor	69
Не Тао	69



Points Discussed (1)

Delegates participation in the ExCo meetings

- Relatively low attendance by ExCo members (last time, this time but also next time in France)
- 25% of contracting partners are new since begin of pandemic

Task Managers:

- Propose to organise a survey whether this is seen as positive or negative by TMS and by ExCo delegates and what is expected of the ExCo meeting (and which elements are seen as important for the meeting)
- Recommend to organise part of ExCo meeting as active discussion on different topics.



Points Discussed (2)

New task ideas

From Task 62 (Christoph will include this in his presentation):

- water purification, desalination for drinking water;
- hydrogen or green gas production with photocatalytic processes

How to generate new Task ideas from outside our circle?

Can ExCo delegates play an active role in getting new ideas from their country experts?



Points Discussed (3)

Collaboration with the other TCPs?

Very different experiences:

Maria (previous tasks with PVPS, present T63 no collaboration): with previous tasks, information was sent to PVPS secretary. No reactions received to that at all.

Andreas (T64 with SolarPaces): Not an active collaboration with SolarPaces. Andreas is doing the work as TM. Although the content fits to the two TCPs.

Christoph (T49, T62 with SolarPaces). For last Task, SolarPaces stopped activities on solar fuels (there is minimal collaboration in this Task). Malaysia is only non-SHC collaborating member.

Jan (T61 with EBC): maximum collaboration with EBC. New activity: Brazil, Japan, USA (from EBC) to collaborate. A number of countries in both TCPs would like to participate through EBC, not SHC. EBC has not decided on level of collaboration. EBC receives information and processes this (also publication in annual report)

Wim (T67 with ES): good collaboration. TM work load is divided over the two TMs (having somewhat different obligations in their TCPs)



Points Discussed (4)

Asking for registration in experts meetings helps to reduce the number of "listeners only" and have a higher level of participation.

Task status reports: not all were sent in on time, a number was much too late. We will send an e-mail to all TMs to ask that they keep the deadline.

Pam requested the TMs to update the websites (all experts having a signed NPL should be listed)



www.iea-shc.org

